

Hope College Teaching Assessment

Herb Dershen

Department: Computer Science

Course: 470 Section: 1

Semester: Spring, 2003

Number of students responding: 10

— Campus —

I. Teacher Evaluation

	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Mean	Top 3	Mean	S.Dev
A. Was well prepared for class	8	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.94	2	3.84	.3581
B. Presented material in a clear and organized manner	5	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.70	4	3.65	.5459
C. Encouraged class participation	1	4	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.50	0	3.74	.4854
D. Was enthusiastic about the subject matter	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.00	6	3.87	.3341
E. Was open to alternative viewpoints	6	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.88	0	3.70	.5463
F. Stimulated my interests in course material	4	3	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.60	3	3.57	.6739
G. Challenged me to go beyond my previous abilities	2	5	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.64	1	3.61	.5948
H. Explained the grading system clearly	1	4	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.41	0	3.64	.5858
I. Graded my work fairly and impartially	6	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.87	3	3.78	.4831
J. Provided helpful feedback on assigned work	4	2	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.54	0	3.63	.5943
K. Had a personal interest in me	7	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.81	1	3.66	.6103
L. Treated me with respect	7	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.91	1	3.85	.4131
M. Was available for help outside of class	8	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.94	0	3.75	.4869
N. Kept me well informed of my progress	3	2	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.56	0	3.48	.6907
O. The OVERALL teaching effectiveness of this instructor	6	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.84	0	3.68	.5429

II. Background Information

- Please indicate your gender. Female: 2 Male: 8
- Please indicate your class standing. Freshman: 0 Sophomore: 0 Junior: 5 Senior: 4 Other: 1
- Which ONE of the following best describes this course for you?
 Requirement for Major/Minor or elective within Major/Minor: 8
 Core Requirement not part of Major/Minor: 0
 Elective, not required in any way: 0

- What grade do you expect to receive in this course?

A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Pass	Fail	No Credit
3	2	2	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

- Compared to other courses taken at Hope, the work load for this course was:

Much Lighter	Lighter	About Average	Heavier	Much Heavier
1	0	7	2	0

III. Course Evaluation

E=Excellent (1.0) G=Good (2.0) S=Satisfactory (3.0) F=Fair (4.0) P=Poor (5.0) NA=Not Available

— Campus —

	E	G	S	F	P	NA	Mean	Mean	S.Dev
1. Overall, I would rate the course	4	6	0	0	0	0	1.60	1.79	.9101
2. Overall, I would rate the textbooks	2	4	2	0	2	0	2.60	2.13	.9636
3. Overall, I would rate the supplementary readings	0	1	0	0	0	9	2.00	2.06	.9067
4. Overall, I would rate the laboratories or practice sessi	1	1	0	0	0	8	1.50	2.04	1.0130
5. I would rate the overall value of this course to me	1	7	2	0	0	0	2.10	1.88	.9528

Hope College Teaching Assessment

Herb Oershen

Department: Computer Science

Course: 295 Section: 1

Semester: Spring, 2003

Number of students responding: 7

I. Teacher Evaluation

	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Mean	Top 3	Mean	S.Dev
A. Was well prepared for class	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.95	0	3.84	.3581
B. Presented material in a clear and organized manner	4	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3.57	2	3.65	.5459
C. Encouraged class participation	4	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3.57	1	3.74	.4854
D. Was enthusiastic about the subject matter	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.00	1	3.87	.3341
E. Was open to alternative viewpoints	6	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.90	0	3.70	.5463
F. Stimulated my interests in course material	5	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.67	1	3.57	.6739
G. Challenged me to go beyond my previous abilities	3	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	3.33	3	3.61	.5948
H. Explained the grading system clearly	4	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.87	0	3.64	.5858
I. Graded my work fairly and impartially	5	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.86	1	3.78	.4831
J. Provided helpful feedback on assigned work	5	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.81	0	3.63	.5943
K. Had a personal interest in me	5	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.81	2	3.66	.6103
L. Treated me with respect	6	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.90	0	3.85	.4131
M. Was available for help outside of class	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.96	1	3.75	.4869
N. Kept me well informed of my progress	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.96	0	3.48	.6907
O. The OVERALL teaching effectiveness of this instructor	4	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.71	0	3.66	.5429

II. Background Information

- Please indicate your gender. Female: 1 Male: 6
- Please indicate your class standing. Freshman: 0 Sophomore: 3 Junior: 1 Senior: 3 Other: 0
- Which ONE of the following best describes this course for you?
 Requirement for Major/Minor or elective within Major/Minor: 5
 Core Requirement not part of Major/Minor: 0
 Elective, not required in any way: 1

- What grade do you expect to receive in this course?

A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Pass	Fail	No Credit
2	1	1	0	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

- Compared to other courses taken at Hope, the work load for this course was:

Much Lighter	Lighter	About Average	Heavier	Much Heavier
0	0	1	5	1

III. Course Evaluation

E=Excellent (1.0) G=Good (2.0) S=Satisfactory (3.0) F=Fair (4.0) P=Poor (5.0) NA=Not Available

	E	G	S	F	P	NA	Mean	Mean	S.Dev
1. Overall, I would rate the course	3	3	1	0	0	0	1.71	1.79	.9101
2. Overall, I would rate the textbooks	1	2	2	1	1	0	2.86	2.13	.8836
3. Overall, I would rate the supplementary readings	1	2	1	0	0	3	2.00	2.06	.9067
4. Overall, I would rate the laboratories or practice sessi	2	2	2	0	0	1	2.00	2.04	1.0130
5. I would rate the overall value of this course to me	3	3	1	0	0	0	1.71	1.88	.9528

Hope College Teaching Assessment

Herb Dershem

Department: Computer Science

Course: 250 Section: 1

Semester: Spring, 2003

Number of students responding: 20

I. Teacher Evaluation

	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Mean	Top 3	Mean	S.Dev
A. Was well prepared for class	14	3	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.79	1	3.84	.3581
B. Presented material in a clear and organized manner	8	3	2	4	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	3.35	4	3.65	.5459
C. Encouraged class participation	8	7	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3.53	0	3.74	.4854
D. Was enthusiastic about the subject matter	16	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.91	6	3.67	.3341
E. Was open to alternative viewpoints	13	5	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.83	2	3.70	.5483
F. Stimulated my interests in course material	3	6	3	5	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	3.11	3	3.57	.6739
G. Challenged me to go beyond my previous abilities	5	5	2	5	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	3.26	1	3.61	.5948
H. Explained the grading system clearly	12	1	3	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	3.47	0	3.64	.5858
I. Graded my work fairly and impartially	13	5	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3.69	1	3.78	.4831
J. Provided helpful feedback on assigned work	13	2	3	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.72	1	3.63	.5943
K. Had a personal interest in me	13	4	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.79	3	3.66	.8103
L. Treated me with respect	16	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.90	1	3.65	.4131
M. Was available for help outside of class	15	4	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.91	2	3.75	.4869
N. Kept me well informed of my progress	15	3	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.82	2	3.48	.6907
O. The OVERALL teaching effectiveness of this instructor	10	7	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3.68	0	3.68	.5429

II. Background Information

- Please indicate your gender. Female: 5 Male: 15
- Please indicate your class standing. Freshman: 6 Sophomore: 10 Junior: 2 Senior: 1 Other: 1
- Which ONE of the following best describes this course for you?
Requirement for Major/Minor or elective within Major/Minor: 19
Core Requirement not part of Major/Minor: 0
Elective, not required in any way: 1

- What grade do you expect to receive in this course?

A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Pass	Fail	No Credit
9	3	1	3	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0

- Compared to other courses taken at Hope, the work load for this course was:

Much Lighter	Lighter	About Average	Heavier	Much Heavier
1	6	10	3	0

III. Course Evaluation

E=Excellent (1.0) G=Good (2.0) S=Satisfactory (3.0) F=Fair (4.0) P=Poor (5.0) NA=Not Available

	E	G	S	F	P	NA	Mean	Mean	S.Dev
1. Overall, I would rate the course	0	14	5	0	1	0	2.40	1.79	.9101
2. Overall, I would rate the textbooks	0	12	4	1	3	0	2.75	2.13	.9836
3. Overall, I would rate the supplementary readings	0	1	1	0	0	18	2.50	2.06	.9067
4. Overall, I would rate the laboratories or practice sessi	0	3	2	1	0	14	2.67	2.04	1.0130
5. I would rate the overall value of this course to me	0	13	5	2	0	0	2.45	1.88	.9528

Hope College Teaching Assessment

Herbert Dersheim

Department: Computer Science

Course: 363 Section: 1b

Semester: Fall, 2002

Number of students responding: 11

I. Teacher Evaluation

	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Mean	Top 3	Mean	S.Dev
A. Was well prepared for class	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.00	4	3.82	.4099
B. Presented material in a clear and organized manner	9	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.95	5	3.58	.6634
C. Encouraged class participation	8	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.78	3	3.69	.5555
D. Was enthusiastic about the subject matter	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.00	3	3.82	.4478
E. Was open to alternative viewpoints	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.97	1	3.65	.6084
F. Stimulated my interests in course material	7	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.85	2	3.48	.7750
G. Challenged me to go beyond my previous abilities	5	3	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.67	1	3.54	.6763
H. Explained the grading system clearly	8	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.88	0	3.58	.6572
I. Graded my work fairly and impartially	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.97	0	3.73	.5666
J. Provided helpful feedback on assigned work	7	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.82	1	3.56	.7073
K. Had a personal interest in me	10	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.97	1	3.57	.7233
L. Treated me with respect	11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.00	0	3.82	.4997
M. Was available for help outside of class	10	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.94	1	3.70	.5607
N. Kept me well informed of my progress	7	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.85	0	3.39	.7672
O. The OVERALL teaching effectiveness of this instructor	9	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.95	0	3.61	.6482

II. Background Information

- Please indicate your gender. Female: 3 Male: 7
- Please indicate your class standing. Freshman: 0 Sophomore: 0 Junior: 4 Senior: 5 Other: 2
- Which ONE of the following best describes this course for you?
 Requirement for Major/Minor or elective within Major/Minor: 11
 Core Requirement not part of Major/Minor: 0
 Elective, not required in any way: 0

- What grade do you expect to receive in this course?

A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Pass	Fail	No Credit
0	1	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

- Compared to other courses taken at Hope, the work load for this course was:

Much Lighter	Lighter	About Average	Heavier	Much Heavier
0	1	7	3	0

III. Course Evaluation

E=Excellent (1.0) G=Good (2.0) S=Satisfactory (3.0) F=Fair (4.0) P=Poor (5.0) NA=Not Available

	E	G	S	F	P	NA	Mean	Mean	S.Dev
1. Overall, I would rate the course	5	5	1	0	0	0	1.64	1.91	.9759
2. Overall, I would rate the textbooks	2	3	4	1	0	0	2.40	2.18	.9857
3. Overall, I would rate the supplementary readings	1	1	0	0	0	9	1.50	2.16	.9382
4. Overall, I would rate the laboratories or practice sessi	3	7	1	0	0	0	1.82	2.09	1.0332
5. I would rate the overall value of this course to me	4	6	0	0	1	0	1.91	1.99	1.0267

Hope College Teaching Assessment

Herbert Dersheim

Department: Computer Science

Course: 225 Section: 1

Semester: Fall, 2002

Number of students responding: 14

I. Teacher Evaluation

Number of students responding: 14																— Campus —	
I. Teacher Evaluation	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Mean	Top 3	Mean	S.Dev	
A. Was well prepared for class	10	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.91	1	3.82	.4089	
B. Presented material in a clear and organized manner	6	4	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.67	3	3.58	.6634	
C. Encouraged class participation	10	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.84	0	3.89	.5555	
D. Was enthusiastic about the subject matter	14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.00	5	3.82	.4478	
E. Was open to alternative viewpoints	11	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.86	1	3.85	.6084	
F. Stimulated my interests in course material	8	3	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3.63	3	3.48	.7750	
G. Challenged me to go beyond my previous abilities	7	3	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.65	2	3.54	.6763	
H. Explained the grading system clearly	8	3	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.74	0	3.58	.6572	
I. Graded my work fairly and impartially	12	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.91	1	3.73	.5666	
J. Provided helpful feedback on assigned work	11	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.91	1	3.56	.7073	
K. Had a personal interest in me	8	2	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.71	2	3.57	.7233	
L. Treated me with respect	13	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.93	0	3.82	.4907	
M. Was available for help outside of class	11	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.89	0	3.70	.5607	
N. Kept me well informed of my progress	11	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.89	0	3.39	.7672	
O. The OVERALL teaching effectiveness of this instructor	9	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.84	0	3.81	.6462	

II. Background Information

- Please indicate your gender. Female: 6 Male: 8
- Please indicate your class standing. Freshman: 8 Sophomore: 3 Junior: 0 Senior: 3 Other: 0
- Which ONE of the following best describes this course for you?

Requirement for Major/Minor or elective within Major/Minor: 10

Core Requirement not part of Major/Minor: 0

Elective, not required in any way: 4

- What grade do you expect to receive in this course?

A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Pass	Fail	No Credit
0	4	4	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0

- Compared to other courses taken at Hope, the work load for this course was:

Much Lighter	Lighter	About Average	Heavier	Much Heavier
0	1	7	5	1

III. Course Evaluation

E=Excellent (1.0) G=Good (2.0) S=Satisfactory (3.0) F=Fair (4.0) P=Poor (5.0) NA=Not Available

							— Campus —	
	E	G	S	F	P	NA	Mean	S.Dev
1. Overall, I would rate the course	8	5	1	0	0	0	1.50	1.91 .9759
2. Overall, I would rate the textbooks	2	9	3	0	0	0	2.07	2.18 .9857
3. Overall, I would rate the supplementary readings	1	1	2	0	0	10	2.25	2.16 .9382
4. Overall, I would rate the laboratories or practice sessi	2	5	2	0	0	4	2.00	2.09 1.0332
5. I would rate the overall value of this course to me	5	8	1	0	0	0	1.71	1.99 1.0287

Hope College Teaching Assessment

Herbert Dersheim

Department: Computer Science

Course: 295 Section: 1

Semester: Fall, 2002

Number of students responding: 7

I. Teacher Evaluation

														— Campus —		
	A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Mean	Top 3	Mean	S.Dev
A. Was well prepared for class	5	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.77	0	3.82	.4099
B. Presented material in a clear and organized manner	5	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.61	2	3.58	.6634
C. Encouraged class participation	2	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	3.10	0	3.69	.5555
D. Was enthusiastic about the subject matter	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.00	3	3.82	.4478
E. Was open to alternative viewpoints	6	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.90	0	3.85	.6084
F. Stimulated my interests in course material	2	2	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3.43	2	3.48	.7750
G. Challenged me to go beyond my previous abilities	4	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.67	2	3.54	.6763
H. Explained the grading system clearly	2	1	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.37	0	3.58	.6572
I. Graded my work fairly and impartially	5	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.81	0	3.73	.5666
J. Provided helpful feedback on assigned work	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3.61	1	3.56	.7073
K. Had a personal interest in me	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.98	2	3.57	.7233
L. Treated me with respect	6	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.98	1	3.82	.4997
M. Was available for help outside of class	6	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.81	2	3.70	.5607
N. Kept me well informed of my progress	4	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3.63	0	3.39	.7672
O. The OVERALL teaching effectiveness of this instructor	4	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	3.63	0	3.61	.6462

II. Background Information

- Please indicate your gender. Female: 1 Male: 6
- Please indicate your class standing. Freshman: 0 Sophomore: 2 Junior: 2 Senior: 2 Other: 1
- Which ONE of the following best describes this course for you?
 Requirement for Major/Minor or elective within Major/Minor: 5
 Core Requirement not part of Major/Minor: 0
 Elective, not required in any way: 2

- What grade do you expect to receive in this course?

A	A-	B+	B	B-	C+	C	C-	D+	D	D-	F	Pass	Fail	No Credit
0	1	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

- Compared to other courses taken at Hope, the work load for this course was:

Much Lighter	Lighter	About Average	Heavier	Much Heavier
0	1	3	3	0

III. Course Evaluation

E=Excellent (1.0) G=Good (2.0) S=Satisfactory (3.0) F=Fair (4.0) P=Poor (5.0) NA=Not Available

							— Campus —		
	E	G	S	F	P	NA	Mean	Mean	S.Dev
1. Overall, I would rate the course	3	3	0	1	0	0	1.86	1.91	.9759
2. Overall, I would rate the textbooks	1	5	0	0	1	0	2.29	2.18	.9857
3. Overall, I would rate the supplementary readings	0	3	1	0	0	3	2.25	2.16	.9392
4. Overall, I would rate the laboratories or practice sessi	1	4	0	0	0	2	1.80	2.09	1.0332
5. I would rate the overall value of this course to me	2	3	2	0	0	0	2.00	1.99	1.0287